C. : 1 t .	
Digital forensics	
Andrej Brodnik	
Andrej Brodnik Digital forensics	
1	
_	
Digital forensics	
 lectures: dr. Andrej Brodnik lab sessions: dr. Gašper Fele-Žorž, Aleks Huč 	
• e-sources: učilnica	
Andrej Brodelik-Digital florensics	
2	
Course description	
Literature:	
Eoghan Casey: Digital Evidence and Computer Crime (third edition) DFRVS (Digital Forensics Research Conference): http://www.dfrvs.org/	
 Digital Investigation – Elsevier: http://www.iournals.elsevier.com/digital-investigation/ 	
SSDDFJ (Small Scale Digital Device Forensics Journal); http://www.ssddfj.org/ IFIP Working Group 11.9 Digital Forensics: http://www.ifip119.org/	
 IJDCF (International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics); http://www.igi-global.com/Bookstore/TitleDetails.aspx?TitleId=1112 	
1	1

Course description – cont.	
lectures: including at least two invited lectures homework (HW):	
four homework assignments from lectures (I), exercises and books for a positive grade: each homework is at least 20% and an average of at least 40%	
lab work (LW): two practical laboratory tasks tasks placed in učilnica, where the results are also submitted	-
• for a positive grade: each task at least 20% and an average of at least 50%	
Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	
4	_
Γ	
Course description – cont.	
• seminar (SN):	
a group will have to read: a scientific article from a magazine or conference, books, tools, or alike presentation (20 minutes) and a written product, which is reviewed by	
colleagues and ultimately a final product • timetable: • by 4.3. group selection; by 11.3. each group issues a proposal for the topic of its seminar paper, which is confirmed or rejected, but no later than 18.3. confirmed;	
by 27.5. submitted presentation; by 13.5. submitted seminar; by 27.5. review; by 10.6. final text; presentation of seminar papers in May and June for a positive grade: all assignments submitted and at least 40% from the	
presentation and 40% from the final written product and at least 50% from the overall grade of the seminar paper	
Andrej Brodnik Chiptal Forensics	
5	
	٦
Course description – cont.	
written exam (WE): A column written even mid-very (scheduled for uncl. 7. 5.)	
only one written exam mid-year (scheduled for week 7. 5.) for a positive grade: at least 50%	
• final grade: 1/3 * WE + 1/3 * SN + 1/3 * (½ * LW + ½ * HW)	
l .	1

	1
Course content	
Course content	
Introduction and basics Computer networks	
Investigation of an electronic	
device with an introduction to Mobile devices criminal proceedings	
Computers – hardware Performing a digital	
Operating Systems (MS investigation	
Windows, Unix/Linux) ■ Digital forensics of	
images	
	-
images in slides are from the book © 2011: Eoghan Casey:	
Digital Evidence and Computer Crime (third edition)	
Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	
_	
7	
Course content – cont.	
invited lectures:	
Digital forensics at the Police Department of common left. (Information Commissions)	
 Protection of personal data (Information Commissioner) Digital forensics of networks (SI-CERT) 	
	-
	-
	-
Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
8	
	1
and the second second	
Introduction and basics	
chapters 1 – 5	

The basics of digital forensics	
chapter 1	
What is digital evidence?	
 Digital evidence is any digital information that is stored or transferred which enables confirmation or denial of a [criminal] act. 	
What is a computer system?	
open computer systems	
communication systemsembedded systems	
Andrej Boodnik Digital forensics	
10	
The basics of digital forensics	
 to carry out a forensic investigation, knowledge is not enough, as it requires certification of personnel, organization, laboratory, 	
requires certification of personner, organization, fauoratory,	
Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	
11	
Principles of digital forensics	-
• use of science for the needs of law	
the importance of distinguishing between certainty and probability:	
The lack of evidence is not evidence of non-existence!	
preparation and storage of material for potential litigation	
	-
Andrey Brodenic Digital forensics	

Exchanging evidence Digital crime scene • fingerprints (on the keyboard) · e-mail and notes Offender · notes about visited sites Evidence transfe · communication trails Exchanging evidence between the victim and the perpetrator (or scene) Locard's principle of exchange

13

Evidence

- evidence has common properties (all programs of this type) and special properties (concrete settings)
- digital evidence acceptable in court:

 - must be properly processed (captured) and
 must be stored in a forensically correct manner

• that's why all actions on the scene must be recorded


14

Evidence

- ensuring authenticity:

 - the content must be unchanged
 content must originate from the scene (recording the order of possession of evidence the evidence chain)
 additional information on the handling of evidence

	C	cmdLabs entinuity of Possession For		
Case Number:	2010-05-27-00X		Chent Case Name: Digifinger Intrusio	
Evidence Type:	hard drive		Evidence Number	0023
Details: Mac storage Knetwork Share		>		
Date of Transfer	Transferred From	Transferred To	Location of Transfer	Action Taken by Recipient
5 27 10	Sam Spade	Philip Marlow	Digifinger HQ Linthicom MD	Collected evidence for examination
	syndra pridinana	porture		
		and a		



Handling evidence

- objectivity of evidence
 - contains interpretation and presentation of evidence
- repeatability of evidence analysis

17

The challenges of handling digital evidence

- \bullet residue or reconstruction is not the same as the whole material:
 - the reconstructed file that was deleted is not the same as the partitions of it the remnants of the sent e-mail are not the same as the entire e-mail
- the connection between (digital) evidence and the perpetrator is not always obvious
- data is not eternal
 - traffic information on the network

The challenges of handling digital evidence

- evidence is not necessarily error-free

 - the administrator has already tried to save the deleted file
 the system administrator changed the content to secure the system
 - there was an error during data capture (non-standard procedure)
 during the data capture, an infected medium was used

 - the media with the stored data has been damaged

19

The digital world is not separate from the real

- example: a buyer bought a good through eBay
 - case example: Auction Fraud, 2000; str. 29
- data can come from unexpected places







20

Developing the language of computer crime research

- there were no computers at the beginning, and the law only protected material evidence
- digital evidence includes:
 - computer (file) forensics
 network forensics

 - mobile forensicsmalware forensics
- important difference between research and data analysis
 the investigation includes capture, organization, ...

 - the analysis represents the actual processing of evidence

The role of computer

According to Parker:

- 1. as the object of a crime
 - when a computer is stolen or destroyed
- as the subject of a crime a computer is the environment in which the crime is committed
 when a computer is infected by a virus or impaired in some other way to inconvenience the individuals who use it

- 3. as the tool for conducting or planning a crime

 when a computer is used to forge documents or break into other computers

 4. the symbol of the computer itself to intimidate or deceive

 offering services or the capabilities of computer services: gains on the stock exchange, ...
- data source(!!) remains of files, e-mails, ...

Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics


22

The role of computer

USDOJ (US Department of Justice):

- hardware as Contraband or Fruits of Crime
- hardware as an Instrumentality
- hardware as Evidence

23



Tasks of an expert

- presentation of evidence material:

 - do not <u>succumb</u> to influences
 to <u>reject prematurely set</u> theories
 use of scientific truth for the needs of the legal process
- ACM Code of ethics
- IEEE Code of ethics

25

Admissibility

- five basic rules:
 - 1. relevance of the material for the case
- the evalue of the material for the case
 authenticity of the material (capture, traceability, ...)
 not hearsay or admissible hearsay (the evidence is not hearsay unless the speaker is present)
 the best possible evidence (original and copy)
 not unduly prejudicial

search warrant

26

Levels of Certainty

• we have a record in the notes:

- what do we conclude from it?
- levels of Certainty:
 - (1) almost definitely; (2) most probably; (3) probably; (4) very possibly; (5) possibly
 - statistical probability

		¬
	Computer Legislation	
	chapter 4	
	legislation USA 50 legislations	
	Washington DC legislation federal legislation	
	Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	
28		
		1
	Computer Legislation	
	• legislation ES (EU)	
	 Ireland and Great Britain separate system – common law 	
	the rest of the countries – <i>civil law</i> common legislation:	
	parliament EU Convention on Cybercrime, 1. July 2004	
	has not been ratified by Ireland and the United Kingdom Protocol on acts of racism and xenophobia, 1. March 2006	
	Andrej Brodnik: Digital forensics	-
29		-
25		
]
	<u>Crimes over the integrity of the computer</u>	
	Access to a computer is not allowed unless authorized by the owner	
	• Examples – read 5.4.*:	
	hackers stealing data	
	intercepting data Influencing data and/or systems (DOS, viruses)	
	• princorrects or unintentional use of the unit/device	
	Andreil Rendrille Distrat forenoises	

Crimes with the help of computers	
Crimes with the help of computers	
• forgery	
• fraud	
• abuse	
Andrej Brodnik: Cigital Forensica	
31	
,-	
<u>Crimes related to data conten</u> t	
enmes related to data content	
 Crimes that affect the content of the data – read 5.6.* child pornography 	
 web seduction - what is this 22? racism and xenophobia 	
Andrej Brodnik: Ogital forensics	
32	
Other <u>crimes</u>	
copyright infringement	
• <u>computer blackmail</u> •	
· ···	
Andreil Brodellis Fischt Geosesier	